

Do you want to reach steady and liberal purchasers in this part of the country—you can do it through the columns of this paper.

# THE CLOVERPORT NEWS.

Don't depend on people to discover you and your business. Keep constantly before them through the advertising columns of this paper.

VOL. XV.

CLOVERPORT, KENTUCKY, WEDNESDAY, JULY 15, 1891.

NO. 51.

## ON ACCOUNT

Unavoidable delays are a little behind in getting ready for the New Crop of Wheat, but we don't intend to be behind in the

Quality of work we do.

Yield of Flour we make,

NOR THE

PROMPT AND POLITE ATTENTION given our Customers.

## OUR AIM

is to give entire satisfaction in every respect.

We will begin grinding July 14, and our Elevator will be ready to receive wheat about two weeks later.

## We Take Pleasure

in explaining our business to all who will call or write.

Respectfully,

**BOYD BROS.,**

MILLERS,

IRVINGTON, KY.

## IT'S

NOT

SO!

J. L. Miller & Payne are not in business together as a great many people think. I am for myself and will say—and come to me and I will show you that I will sell you

Fruit Cans, both Glass & Tin

STEP STOVE,

with 40 pieces of ware for \$7.00.

Hay Rakes,

Sorgo Mills,

Evaporators.

6-quart Home-made Tin Buckets at 15 cents.

SAWS, GUNS, CARTRIDGES,

SEWING MACHINES,

BUILDING HARDWARE,

HUGHES, ROAD CARTS.

NO. 7 BOX COOKING STOVE

with 20-inch oven for \$11.00.

I can and will sell goods cheaper than any house in Town.

Don't forget the place

**J. L. MILLER'S**

CLOVERPORT, KY.

**MUNN & CO.**

SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN

**PATENTS**

A complete list of information and a description of the laws, showing how to secure a patent, can be obtained by sending for a copy of the

Perfect action and perfect health result from the use of Dr. Williams' Little Early Balm, a perfect little pill—Sold by G. W. Short.

### The Newspaper Man.

The newspaper man is the kind of creature. The monster of public opinion and views. One more in the midst of a church congregation. The next at a prize fight in search of the news. He speaks, and the world stands at attention at his bidding.

In the morning, at the breakfast table, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the dinner table, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening meal, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the bedtime, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the morning, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

At the evening, he is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of. He is the first to be heard of.

### PROTECTION.

The Real Cause of The Farmers' Hardships.

The organization of the Farmers' Alliance is a sign of the times. Every social or industrial movement that involves a considerable body of the people at the present time is a sign of the times.

It indicates that the political conditions of the country are unsatisfactory and that the vast body of the people engaged in tilling the soil for a living are discontented.

The country, as a whole, is prosperous. In the last decade the population has increased 12,500,000, and six new States have been added to the Union.

One hundred crop after another has added its increment to the general wealth. The railroad mileage has increased from 85,000 to 170,000, and the internal traffic of the country is estimated at \$25,000,000,000—figures so enormous that they can only be believed, not comprehended.

We produce more gold and silver than any three other countries in the world; we make more iron than Great Britain, or any other country; and Hon. W. McKinley (Ohio) told nothing but the plain truth when he said: "We lead all nations in agriculture; we lead all nations in mining; and we lead all nations in manufacturing."

But why are the farmers discontented in the midst of this phenomenal prosperity? Why is it that the largest class of workers in the land, and the class which contributes more than any other to the general wealth find it necessary to organize in allures, hold conventions and thrust their grievances before the public? Never before in the history of the country did the farmers as a body take so much about mortgages, low prices of crops, scarcity of money, the pressure of debt, the difficulty of finding a crop that will pay for raising it, the impossibility of selling their farms at any reasonable price, and the general hardships of their condition.

Why is it they do so now? What is the matter with the farmers?

It is not that railroads are owned by corporations instead of by the Government. It is not that railroads are privately owned and assessed at too low a valuation; nor that there are too few Union veterans receiving pensions; nor that the President and Vice-President are chosen by electors instead of by the direct vote of the people—nor all these together, as some of their conventions appear to imagine; and when farmers' conventions mumble about these things they give painful evidence that they do not grasp the situation.

The real cause of farmers' hardships is to be found almost in a single word—protection. Other classes have something to do with the trouble, such as extravagance at Washington, excessive land grants to Eastern corporations owning railroads in the West, and manipulation of the national debt and finances during the last twenty-seven years in the interest of the moneyed section and the creditor classes. But the present trouble is not a result of these things. It is the result of the Government has exacted manufacturing over all other interests and fostering it at the expense of other producing interests, particularly that of agriculture; that certain kinds of manufacturing, found chiefly in the Northeastern States, have been favored and protected by the Government by a system of tolls and duties on the raw materials upon which these kinds, being the ground-sill of the social structure, is incapable of charging them against a class next below it—the tillers of the soil.

Somebody must pay for the protective favors which have under the manufacturing interest and the manufacturing vote for their country. But they (the Republicans) in these parts do not take kindly to the scheme. They are not so easily humbugged as I am. I am satisfied that the vote for "Pro" is not for "Mag" at these precincts. Clifton Mills and Union Star than Democrats will vote for Dr. George, and twice as many Republicans will stand off as will vote for "Mag."

The Republicans are not willing to disorganize their own party in order to defeat a Democratic nominee.

Dr. George will not get a single negro vote here.

Brother John T. on last Saturday said, "Young men, do you want office? If you do, you want to be the People's Party and you can get it." Now, Brother John, do you want office? If you must change the front of your party. That is just what will kill the People's Party. Brother John—place buttons who have never been recognized as worthy of any position or trust by either of the old parties. "Let the office seek the man."

Now, Brother John, place criticism as no more for you.

"Some have at first for who these posts passed turned either side and proved plain facts at last some neither side nor the other."

At heavy times are neither here nor there."

I notice that a great divine of Athens, Georgia, claims that the millennium is to begin sometime this year, and a Harvard professor has it in '94. Now, I have been figuring on that thing myself and I am thoroughly satisfied that it will begin in '92, when the Democrats shall have elected all their officers from the President down to constable. The election of "Mag" by 500 votes is the shadow cast by coming events.

Ex. F. M. B. A.

## GENERAL MERCHANDISE.

**MOORMAN & BEELER,**

**CLENDEANE, KY.**

—HEADQUARTERS FOR—

Dry Goods, Hats,

Gent's Furnishing Goods,

Clothing, Shoes and

Dress Goods.

We have just received a very large and beautiful assortment of WHITE and BLACK DRESS GOODS, which we are closing out at prices which will astonish you.

**DRESS GOODS.**

In Dress Goods we are displaying an assortment of Plaids, Stripes, Checks and solid Colors at unprecedentedly low prices. Call and see the beauties we are displaying.

**Black and Embroidered Mulls.**

Black Embroidered Stripes twenty-seven inches deep at prices ranging from 35 cts. to \$1.00 per yard. Also a full line of White Striped Goods, ranging in price from 35 cts. to 80 cts. per yard. Calico, including Indigos at 5 cts. per yard.

We have just received a beautiful line of

**Spring Clothing**

Which we are selling at prices which astonish every one who examine the line.

In GROCERIES we lead in prices, we are selling them regardless of cost.

Call and see our line, the most complete ever brought to the country

**MOORMAN & BEELER,**

**CLENDEANE, KY.**

We are also agents for the Celebrated "Studebaker" wagons and the "Oliver Chilled" Plow.

We sell the Horse Shoe brand "Fertilizer" manufactured by the North Western Fertilizer Co., Chicago, Ill. This is a very high grade of Fertilizer, the best on the market, and get our price before buying. We will save you from 10 to 15 per cent.

**WANT NONE OF IT.**

**New England Farmers Do Not Take Kindly to the So-called People's Party.**

SPRINGFIELD, Mass., July 9.—An extended canvass by the New England Homestead shows that the new People's party is not popular in the West, and that New England and New York. The men interviewed were all prominent farmers. While some favor more independent political action than heretofore, nearly all oppose the idea of a special farmers' party. One or two go so far as to say that this party, if very conservative, and the right platform is put forward, will draw 10 per cent. of the farmers' vote in their sections—Maine and New York—but the majority place this number far lower, from 1 to 2 percent, being the average.

The Salt-Treasury bill and the loaning of New loans of paper by the Government on land values are looked upon with some suspicion, and the free release of silver has but a small following. The opinion in general shows the strength of the position long held by the Grange, and carried out by the Farmers' League, that the farmers' movement in this section of the country will consist more in independent action through the old parties than in forming any new party.

**Editorial Ability.**

In a recent number of the New York Journalist that paper takes the ground that the sheers are quite as important at the editor's desk as the following in which he says: "A good many people do not know that an editor's selections from his contemporaries are quite often the best of his editorial ability, and the function of the editor is not merely to list up recent news, but to reproduce the brightest and best thoughts and the most attractive news from all sources at the editor's command. There are times when the editor opens his exchanges and finds a feast for eyes, heart and soul. The thoughts of his contemporaries grow with life. He wishes his readers to enjoy the feast, and he loyally takes up his scissors and clips and clips, and sighs to think that his space is inadequate to contain all the treasure so richly stored before him. His true editor is generous, and will sacrifice his own ambition as a writer during festive occasions and it is for more profit to the public to let them know the original clippings of the editor with the label of author affixed, than to appropriate the best thought to himself, and reproduce them as his own. After all, the true test of a newspaper's real value is not the amount of original matter it contains but the average quality of all the matter appearing in its columns, whether original or selected."

**Now Try This.**

It will cost you nothing and will surely do you good, if you have a Cough, Cold, or any trouble with Throat, Chest or Lungs. Dr. King's New Discovery for Consumption, Coughs and Colds, is guaranteed to give relief, or money will be paid back. Sufferers from La Grippe found it the just thing and under its use had a speedy and perfect recovery. Try a sample bottle at our expense and learn for yourself just how good a thing it is. Trial bottles free at S. H. St. Louis Drug Store. Large size 50c. and \$1.

**Now Try This.**

It will cost you nothing and will surely do you good, if you have a Cough, Cold, or any trouble with Throat, Chest or Lungs. Dr. King's New Discovery for Consumption, Coughs and Colds, is guaranteed to give relief, or money will be paid back. Sufferers from La Grippe found it the just thing and under its use had a speedy and perfect recovery. Try a sample bottle at our expense and learn for yourself just how good a thing it is. Trial bottles free at S. H. St. Louis Drug Store. Large size 50c. and \$1.

**Now Try This.**

It will cost you nothing and will surely do you good, if you have a Cough, Cold, or any trouble with Throat, Chest or Lungs. Dr. King's New Discovery for Consumption, Coughs and Colds, is guaranteed to give relief, or money will be paid back. Sufferers from La Grippe found it the just thing and under its use had a speedy and perfect recovery. Try a sample bottle at our expense and learn for yourself just how good a thing it is. Trial bottles free at S. H. St. Louis Drug Store. Large size 50c. and \$1.

**Now Try This.**

It will cost you nothing and will surely do you good, if you have a Cough, Cold, or any trouble with Throat, Chest or Lungs. Dr. King's New Discovery for Consumption, Coughs and Colds, is guaranteed to give relief, or money will be paid back. Sufferers from La Grippe found it the just thing and under its use had a speedy and perfect recovery. Try a sample bottle at our expense and learn for yourself just how good a thing it is. Trial bottles free at S. H. St. Louis Drug Store. Large size 50c. and \$1.

**Now Try This.**

It will cost you nothing and will surely do you good, if you have a Cough, Cold, or any trouble with Throat, Chest or Lungs. Dr. King's New Discovery for Consumption, Coughs and Colds, is guaranteed to give relief, or money will be paid back. Sufferers from La Grippe found it the just thing and under its use had a speedy and perfect recovery. Try a sample bottle at our expense and learn for yourself just how good a thing it is. Trial bottles free at S. H. St. Louis Drug Store. Large size 50c. and \$1.

**Now Try This.**

It will cost you nothing and will surely do you good, if you have a Cough, Cold, or any trouble with Throat, Chest or Lungs. Dr. King's New Discovery for Consumption, Coughs and Colds, is guaranteed to give relief, or money will be paid back. Sufferers from La Grippe found it the just thing and under its use had a speedy and perfect recovery. Try a sample bottle at our expense and learn for yourself just how good a thing it is. Trial bottles free at S. H. St. Louis Drug Store. Large size 50c. and \$1.

**Now Try This.**

It will cost you nothing and will surely do you good, if you have a Cough, Cold, or any trouble with Throat, Chest or Lungs. Dr. King's New Discovery for Consumption, Coughs and Colds, is guaranteed to give relief, or money will be paid back. Sufferers from La Grippe found it the just thing and under its use had a speedy and perfect recovery. Try a sample bottle at our expense and learn for yourself just how good a thing it is. Trial bottles free at S. H. St. Louis Drug Store. Large size 50c. and \$1.

**Now Try This.**

It will cost you nothing and will surely do you good, if you have a Cough, Cold, or any trouble with Throat, Chest or Lungs. Dr. King's New Discovery for Consumption, Coughs and Colds, is guaranteed to give relief, or money will be paid back. Sufferers from La Grippe found it the just thing and under its use had a speedy and perfect recovery. Try a sample bottle at our expense and learn for yourself just how good a thing it is. Trial bottles free at S. H. St. Louis Drug Store. Large size 50c. and \$1.

**Now Try This.**

It will cost you nothing and will surely do you good, if you have a Cough, Cold, or any trouble with Throat, Chest or Lungs. Dr. King's New Discovery for Consumption, Coughs and Colds, is guaranteed to give relief, or money will be paid back. Sufferers from La Grippe found it the just thing and under its use had a speedy and perfect recovery. Try a sample bottle at our expense and learn for yourself just how good a thing it is. Trial bottles free at S. H. St. Louis Drug Store. Large size 50c. and \$1.

**Now Try This.**

It will cost you nothing and will surely do you good, if you have a Cough, Cold, or any trouble with Throat, Chest or Lungs. Dr. King's New Discovery for Consumption, Coughs and Colds, is guaranteed to give relief, or money will be paid back. Sufferers from La Grippe found it the just thing and under its use had a speedy and perfect recovery. Try a sample bottle at our expense and learn for yourself just how good a thing it is. Trial bottles free at S. H. St. Louis Drug Store. Large size 50c. and \$1.

**Now Try This.**

It will cost you nothing and will surely do you good, if you have a Cough, Cold, or any trouble with Throat, Chest or Lungs. Dr. King's New Discovery for Consumption, Coughs and Colds, is guaranteed to give relief, or money will be paid back. Sufferers from La Grippe found it the just thing and under its use had a speedy and perfect recovery. Try a sample bottle at our expense and learn for yourself just how good a thing it is. Trial bottles free at S. H. St. Louis Drug Store. Large size 50c. and \$1.

**Now Try This.**

It will cost you nothing and will surely do you good, if you have a Cough, Cold, or any trouble with Throat, Chest or Lungs. Dr. King's New Discovery for Consumption, Coughs and Colds, is guaranteed to give relief, or money will be paid back. Sufferers from La Grippe found it the just thing and under its use had a speedy and perfect recovery. Try a sample bottle at our expense and learn for yourself just how good a thing it is. Trial bottles free at S. H. St. Louis Drug Store. Large size 50c. and \$1.

## Come! Come! Come!

To the great Feast of

**SLAUGHTERED PRICES!**

—OF—

**WITT & MEADOR,**

**FOR THE NEXT TEN DAYS.**

They will sell you Gingham for 8 and 9 cts. worth 10 and 12. Calicoes, best Chamberly styles from 5 to 6 cts. worth \$1 to 7. Henrietta cloth formerly sold for 25 cts. worth only 23 for the next ten days only.

Black Lawns from 7 to 10 cts. worth 10 to 15. Black India Linen, guaranteed absolutely fast for 23 cts. 1 1/2 yards wide formerly sold for 25 cts.

Fine Persian Lawns and Mulls 12 to 15 cts. worth 15 to 20. India Linen Gingham Plais for 12 to 15 cts. worth 15 to 20. Bleached Cotton best brand Hope 8 1/2 cts. worth 10. Ladies' Black Corsets for 75 cts. worth \$1.25. Best Linen Crash for towels 9 cts. worth 12 1/2. Gent's Neck Wear from 5 cts. up. Gent's Suspenders from 10 cts. up to 50 worth from 15 to 75 cts. Ladies' and Gent's Shoes at the price for the next ten days only. Don't fail to attend and get a bargain in something you need before this auction closes. We mean business and will do what we say.

Respectfully, **WITT & MEADOR,**

**HARDINSBURG, KY.**

Main Street.

**Saddlery.**

**Harness.**

The largest stock of Saddlery and Harness at subsoil prices can be found at

**D. HAMBLETON'S.**

We carry a large assortment of Harness of our own manufacture at prices to suit the times. Below we quote prices of Harness made from pure Oak Tanned Leather.

Single Buggy Harness, Breast Collar \$5.50, \$6.50, \$7.50, \$9.00 and \$12.00. Bridles, Curb Bits 75c, 90c and \$1.00. Saddles, \$1.75, \$2.50, \$3.50, \$4.00, \$4.50, \$5.00, \$5.75 and \$10.00. We carry a line of Cutback Goods, such as Horse Covers, Storm Aprons, Leggings, at very low prices. We carry a line of Novelties, such as Tail Ties, The Cottol Wool Plumes and Tail Protectors, and everything pertaining to the trade. We have a large line of Collars, Frames and Chains, Breeching \$3.00, 3.50, 4.00, 4.50.





# BEWLEYVILLE, KY.

## \$5.00 REWARD!

Will be paid for evidence that will lead to the indictment and conviction of the man or boy who tears down or in any way mutilates or defaces one of these cards. They cost the writer a considerable sum to have them published and posted, and if left in place, will prove a great benefit to the people as well as himself.

**W**hile nearly all the merchants of the surrounding towns and villages have now let their stocks run down and gone into, what is to them the Dull Season, the Cash Store has stocked up full with Nice, New, Clean Goods in almost every line and is just booming and intends to keep it going right on.

Receiving Fresh Additions to the Stock Daily.

## NOW IS THE TIME

to go to the Cash Store where you will be sure to find the thing you want, and always at the Lowest Cash rate on everything.

### The ONLY HOUSE

in the vicinity that is still selling on the uniform low cash rate. Nearly, or quite all the houses who started out a year or so ago on this plan, have quit it in disgust at the small profits and have gone back to the old plan, of low prices if you must, high prices if you can.

## THE CASH STORE

Sold something over \$10,000 last year and hopes to make it double that amount this year, as its only chance for profit is in

### LARGE SALES!

—DON'T FORGET—

That its Terms are **POSITIVE CASH** Before Goods are Removed

Don't want to credit any man, woman or child for a single day. Bring your cash or any kind of marketable produce and you will be paid the highest price on produce and get the lowest on goods.

**CASH STORE,**  
BEWLEYVILLE, KY.

Please Post in a Conspicuous Place.

BEWLEYVILLE, KY.

\$2.00 REV. A. R. R.

Will be paid for... to the people as well as to the...

W... his newly... in almost every line and in just becoming...

Receiving Fresh Additions to the Stock Daily.

NOW IS THE TIME

to go to the Cash Store where you will be sure to find everything...

The ONLY...

in the vicinity that is still selling on the low side for many years...

THE CASH STORE

Sold something over \$100,000 last year and expect to make a record...

LARGE SALES

DON'T FORGET

That its Terms are Positive Cash Before Goods are Delivered

Don't want to credit our men... and you will be paid the highest price...

CASH STORE

BEWLEYVILLE, KY.



ONE ENJOYS

Both the method and results when Syrup of Figs is taken...

CALIFORNIA FIG SYRUP CO. NEW YORK, N.Y.

Dr. J. M. Clayton, PHYSICIAN & SURGEON.

Dr. S. S. Watkins, PHYSICIAN & SURGEON.

BRECKENRIDGE NEWS.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 15, 1891

LOCAL BRIEVITIES.

Smoke the World cigar.

Mr. Thos. J. Adkinson, of Irvington,

has typhoid fever.

Mr. R. E. Freese has put up an iron fence in front of his residence.

Cloverport precinct is alright and will roll up new United Democratic majority.

The new brick and terra cotta plant is getting its affairs in shape to begin operations.

The worst cases of scrofula, skin rheum and other diseases of the blood, are cured by Hood's Sarsaparilla.

What is the matter with "Little South Carolina"? She certainly won't go back on her record as the banner Democratic precinct in the county.

Mr. John K. Ditto, of Brandenburg, was in Louisville Monday.

He was the unfortunate holder of \$1,200 worth of stock in the defunct Falls City bank.

The enterprising ladies of the Baptist church, of this city, will move an ice cream supper in Mr. Alfred Oelke's yard to-morrow night.

All are cordially invited to attend.

Mr. J. B. Board, of Bozetta, and Mrs. Catharine Hawkins of Hill's Run, went to Elizabethtown Monday, as delegates to the District meeting of the F. and L. U., held in that city yesterday.

The Cloverport Pipe Line Co., hereby notifies its customers who are in arrears that unless bills are paid at once gas will no longer be supplied them.

This notice may be considered final.

Boyd Bros., Irvington, will soon have their elevator ready for receiving wheat.

There are very few, if any, more enterprising firms in this county than the Boyd Bros.

They are expending lots of money in building and machinery, and deserve a liberal patronage.

The semi-annual statements of the Breckenridge Bank of this city, and the Bank of Hardinsburg, appear in this issue.

They both make splendid showings of their business, the latter, a new bank especially, makes a good showing both in deposits and earnings.

With two such splendid and well-managed banks in our county we can have no fears about our finances.

Encouraging reports come in from all over the county as to the success of the democratic ticket in August.

While there are some dissensions in one or two precincts, in others the determination of the Democrats is stronger and upon the whole we think there is no cause for alarm.

But we advise Democrats everywhere to be on the alert and do their duty. Stand together as one man and the victory is ours.

LONG BRANCH.

Misses Jennie Harlin and Bettie McGehee visited Miss Nellie McGehee last week, who has been suffering from an attack of fever.

Eliza Smith, Esq., was in Louisville last week arranging to get a new engine for the threshing season.

Donald McDonald, Superintendent and Engineer of the Kentucky Fuel and Heating Co., accompanied by Dr. Burnett, one of the officers of the above company, were looking over the field with a view of making extensive improvements.

Charles Crawford is now pumping on July day and A. G. Ditto looks down the slope during the night hours.

Mr. W. C. McGehee visited Louisville last week.

FOR DYNAPHERIA.

Use Brown's Iron Bitters.

Physicians advise it.

All dealers keep it at 50¢ per bottle.

Contains 100 grains each and comes in red lines on wrapper.

PERSONAL.

Capt. F. M. Ryan was in the city Sunday.

Mr. John A. Barry went to Ekron Monday.

Mrs. G. K. Boyd went to Hawesville Monday.

Mr. F. J. Ferry went to Louisville Monday.

R. E. Moorman went to Louisville yesterday.

Mrs. A. R. English is visiting relatives at Walnut.

Misses Manie and Annie Griffith, of Owensboro, are the guests of Dr. and Mrs. R. S. S. Watkins.

Miss Lizzie Skillman is visiting friends at Winchester, Ky.

Mrs. C. W. Moorman has been sick for three weeks with fever.

Dr. R. T. Dempster and son, Rock Vale, went to Louisville Monday.

Mrs. C. E. Lightfoot left Sunday for Sorghotown to visit her father, Mr. Ed. Hamblenton.

Mrs. Allen Jamison, of Louisville, is the guest of her brother, Richard Camp, this week.

Mr. Fred Napper spent Sunday with his wife who is the guest of Mr. and Mrs. G. P. Napper.

Mr. Alford Watkins went to Mt. Sterling yesterday to visit his sister, Mrs. Geo. E. Chick.

Mrs. W. D. Holt and daughter, Miss Rose, of Holt, Ky., went to the Tar Springs yesterday.

Mr. W. H. Bowner and wife went to Henderson yesterday to visit their daughter, Mrs. John Burks.

Charlie Miller, of the Cloverport News came down on the 4th, especially to see-Cannell-Engle.

Mr. and Mrs. R. S. Skillman, of Hardinsburg, were in city last week, the guests of relatives and friends.

Miss Sarah Hammon, of Cloverport, is visiting friends and relatives in the city this week-Cannell-Engle.

Mrs. J. C. Babbitt left Saturday for Bozetta where she will remain several weeks, the guest of her daughter, Mrs. Eliza Taylor.

Dr. Adkinson, Rev. K. Penrod and the Misses Moorman of Cloverport, Ky., were among the visitors from that place on the 4th of July-Cannell-Engle.

Mr. Roland O'Brien, editor of the Meade County Reporter, Brandenburg, was in the city yesterday to hear the Hon. Walter A. Evans on the new Constitution.

Miss Mary Holt, of Holt, Ky., and Messrs. O. T. and J. L. Skillman, of this city, left Thursday for Pewee Valley to spend several days with their friend, Miss Dalany.

Mrs. D. W. Fairchild and children, after a very pleasant visit to her sister, Mrs. J. D. Babbitt for the past two weeks, returned to Brandenburg Sunday, accompanied by her husband and Miss Adelle G. Ditt.

Dr. D. D. Rice will be at the Cloverport Hotel next Tuesday. If you have any illay your case before the doctor and he will do his best to cure you. Information that will be valuable to you. He is a first class physician and a very successful one in his line of practice.

Call on him at the Cloverport Hotel, next Tuesday. Mr. J. P. Cox and daughter, Reath K. and Miss Lucie Gilbert, of Henderson, will attend the Head-Dowden memorial service held at Walnut Grove church and Sunday school.

Mr. Anthony Koneker, a prominent farmer, of Henderson, will visit this city Saturday night to see our natural gas and other attractions.

Mr. W. W. Williams and Rev. James Vernon, of Henderson, were passengers on the East bound train Monday for a two weeks tour in Canada.

Rev. Vernon is the pastor of the Christian church in Henderson and is one of the most entertaining gentlemen it has been our pleasure to meet in a long while.

He has just returned from a four months tour of Europe and the Holy Land and gives a most interesting account of his travels. He had his eyes and ears wide open, took in every thing and has the happy faculty of imparting it to his friends.

UNION STAR.

Miss Pink Ricketts returned home from Elizabethtown this week.

Mr. Harry Major, of Louisville, visited Helen Miller this week.

Miss Susie Brashear and brother, Owen, visited friends in Roberts Bottom this week.

Mr. J. B. Hoising and son, of Lexington, were guests of C. M. McGlothlin this week.

Miss Lena Richardson left Thursday to visit friends at Lewisport and Owensboro.

Miss Lillian Croson and Mr. Robert French attended the picnic at Hardinsburg last Saturday.

Mr. J. F. Jenkins and G. A. Smith, of Louisville, were the guests of the Misses Richardson's Sunday.

Miss Jessie Hoyle returned to her home in Cloverport after a visit of several weeks to her sister.

Mr. Sam. Cashman and family, of New Albany, returned home this week after a visit to relatives at this place.

Several of the young people attended the Bentley Bros., entertainment at Stephensport Wednesday evening.

Mr. R. C. Richardson and sister, Miss Corn, joined a party going to Tar Springs Monday and spent a very pleasant day.

Miss Lila Basham returned to her home in West Louisville Friday after a visit to her cousin, Miss Blanche Basham.

Mr. and Mrs. D. S. Richardson entertained quite a number of young people Thursday evening. Those present were Misses Goldstein, of Henderson; Misses Menden and Frank, of Louisville; and Mrs. Isaac, of Stephensport; Messrs. Will Madden, of Elizabethtown; J. F. Jenkins, of Louisville; and Dr. Goldstein, of Stephensport.

Col. Walter Evans on the New Constitution.

Col. Walter Evans, of Louisville, upon the invitation of a number of our citizens, addressed a good audience in Fisher's Hall on yesterday afternoon in opposition to the adoption of the new Constitution.

His speech was a calm, dispassionate, logical and forcible argument, and the effect of it was marked. His audience was composed of men who came to hear, learn and determine and many went away with their minds formed not to treat the dangerous experimental document.

Below we give a synopsis of his argument:

He mentioned in gratified terms his invitation to speak in Cloverport, and stated that he had no other than a citizen's interest in the great question of a change in the organic law of the State, he preferred only to speak where there had been some exposure of it, and to have him speak.

He thought the question involved was altogether non-partisan in character, and should be discussed with calm temper, and with a view to ascertaining what was best for the people of the State. He commenced the action of the Democratic party in not treating it as a partisan question, and regretted that the Republican party had, by recommending it to the voters of the State, incurred the risk of creating a division where there should be union, as it could not be expected that men in the habit of forming their own conclusions simply upon a mere recommendation of a party convention called for other purposes altogether.

He argued that changes in a Constitution should be slowly made, and only to correct real evils or to meet real emergencies. He quoted a remark of Judge Story in his commentaries on the Constitution of the United States, wherein, in speaking of the men who framed that Constitution, he said: "They knew that the besetting sin of Republics was restlessness of temperance and a spirit of change at slight evil." He stated his conviction that the proposed Constitution was largely made up, in so far as it was new, from the suggestions of discontent and slight evils.

He spoke of the old Constitution under which we had lived in peace and war for forty years, as being well understood; as having been construed by the courts in all its doubtful provisions, and as not now seriously opposing any class of our citizens. The slavery clause had been eliminated by the highest sanction of war and National Constitutional change. He spoke of the new Constitution, chiefly, urging generally that it was too long, being over 60 per cent. longer than the present Constitution; that it was experimental and tentative in its nature; that it was in which it was new at all; that it would prevent the development of the resources of the State, and consequently drive out capital; that it would inspire to a ruinous extent, if it at all, the business of the State; that it would open the door to the Legislature, and that too upon the idea of the past Legislature—had been corrupt and unworthy of trust; that it would prevent the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more railroads, and practically crystallize into permanent monopolies the railroads that we had; that it would increase taxation, first by increasing the value of the property to be taxed; second, by diminishing the exemptions at present allowed by law, and third, by making it necessary to raise possibly a million dollars to support and put into execution the proposed new Constitution, to say nothing of the vast expense of probable litigation.

He criticized the so-called open clause as being no more open than the clause in the present Constitution concerning amendments, and showed that seventeen years ago an amendment was proposed to amend the building of more



